## Considering climate change in stock management strategy: the case-study of the Gulf of St. Lawrence herring

#### Washington, June 5<sup>th</sup> 2018





#### Pablo Brosset & Stéphane Plourde

Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Maurice Lamontagne Institute, Mont-Joli, QC G5H 3Z4, Canada

Fisheries and Oceans Canada Pêches et Océans Canada

#### Centered-vision on fishery



Pair «fishermen-resource»

### **ECOSYSTEM APPROACH TO FISHERIES**



Pair «fishermen-resource»

#### Ecosystem approach to fisheries



Whole «ecosystem-society»

Consider abiotic, biotic and human components

### **GULF OF ST. LAWRENCE HERRING STOCKS**

#### **Gulf of St. Lawrence**



### **GULF OF ST. LAWRENCE HERRING STOCKS**



No stock-recruitment relationship

### **GULF OF ST. LAWRENCE HERRING STOCKS**



1967 •<sup>2005</sup> 2004 \_ g 2001 1997 2015 • 1987 •<sup>1970</sup> 1980. 1973 1978 **SSB** 

RMSE=0.626

#### No stock-recruitment relationship

Tiit Raid, Georgs Kornilovs, Ain Lankov, Anne-Marin Nisumaa, Heli Shpilev, and Ahto Järvik

### HERRING RECRUITMENT vs ENVIRONMENT

#### Spring spawners

76% of the **recruitment** deviance explained

Cold water zooplankton community Earlier zooplankton development

#### Fall spawners

75% of the **recruitment** deviance explained

Warm water zooplankton community Earlier zooplankton development





MSE : Framework to design and test harvest control-rules, assessment methods, and data used to set TACs



**MSE** : Framework to design and test harvest control-rules, assessment methods, and data used to set TACs



Compare different Management Strategies under different environmental scenarios

How does this affect future:

- Catches?
- **Biomass**?

What objectives do we target?

Modelling work

## State-space assessment SAM model (Nielsen and Berg, 2014)

- No stock-recruitment relationship
- Can environmental variables help to model recruitment ?

Add a factor X acting on recruitment:

 $log(R_t) \sim Normal(\mu_t, \sigma^2)$  $\mu_t = \alpha + \beta X_t$ 

Physical long-term trend was chosen as a proxy of environmental conditions

State-space assessment SAM model (Nielsen and Berg, 2014)

- \* No stock-recruitment relationship
- Can environmental variables help to model recruitment ?

Add a factor X acting on recruitment:

 $log(R_t) \sim Normal(\mu_t, \sigma^2)$  $\mu_t = \alpha + \beta X_t$ 

# Physical long-term trend was chosen as a proxy of environmental conditions



State-space assessment SAM model (Nielsen and Berg, 2014)

- \* No stock-recruitment relationship
- Can environmental variables help to model recruitment ?
  - Add a factor X acting on recruitment:

 $log(R_t) \sim Normal(\mu_t, \sigma^2)$  $\mu_t = \alpha + \beta X_t$ 

Physical long-term trend was chosen as a proxy of environmental conditions



#### Different recruitment levels with environmental periods



#### RMSE Regime < No Env

#### Environmental regimes improve recruitment predictions

• How to incorporate environment in projections?

#### **Resampling of the environmental factor**

Associate an environmental factor depending on the scenario to predicted years.



Each predicted year: Random sampling of an environmental value will increase or decrease recruitment

• How to incorporate environment in projections?

#### **Resampling of the environmental factor**

Associate an environmental factor depending on the scenario to predicted years.





Each predicted year: Random sampling of an environmental value will increase or decrease recruitment

• How to incorporate environment in projections?

#### **Resampling of the environmental factor**

Associate an environmental factor depending on the scenario to predicted years.



Each predicted year: Random sampling of an environmental value will increase or decrease recruitment

**Future years** 

#### **Management strategies**

No F: No fishing mortality, TAC set to 0

Const Catch: Keep constant TAC at the level of 2016 (20,000 t)

F40%: the fishing mortality that is expected to conserve 40% of maximum spawning potential

F50%: the fishing mortality that is expected to conserve 50% of maximum spawning potential

ConstF: Keep constant fishing mortality at the level of 2016 (0.18)

#### Harvest control rules

TAC set to 100 t if  $SSB < SSB_{lim} = 48,000t$ 

TAC linearly decrease if SSB<sub>lim</sub> < SSB < SSB<sub>target</sub>

No TAC reduction if SSB >  $SSB_{target} = 62,000t$ 



### **HERRING MSE FORECASTS**



### HERRING MSE FORECASTS



### **HERRING MSE FORECASTS**



Future LOW herring productivity

No F Const Catch F40% F50% ConstF



Future LOW herring productivity

No F Const Catch F40% F50% ConstF





Future LOW herring productivity

No F Const Catch F40% F50% ConstF

Future HIGH herring productivity



THE MANAGEMENT OF THE FISHERIES DEPENDS ON THE FISH STOCK PRODUCTIVITY

#### Future LOW herring productivity

#### Future **HIGH** herring productivity

F<Flim

SSB>SSBcible





### **IMPROVEMENTS**

#### **MSE**

→ Future environmental conditions lead to different stock trajectories

Including the environment

→ Highlight the need to take into account the different levels of productivity to inform management and reduce stock vulnerability and risks under climate change

### **IMPROVEMENTS**

#### **MSE**

→ Future environmental conditions lead to different stock trajectories

Including the environment

→ Highlight the need to take into account the different levels of productivity to inform management and reduce stock vulnerability and risks under climate change

#### • Future directions

- Objectives realistically defined with the managers and the industry
- Test others Harvest Control Rules (moving reference points)
- Include environmental forecasts from biophysical models

# Thank you for your attention





#### **Considering climate change in the management policy**

Washington, June 5<sup>th</sup> 2018

Pablo Brosset, Stéphane Plourde

pablo.brosset@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Fisheries and Oceans Canada Pêches et Océans Canada